

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/765,869	01/29/2004	Yen-Weay Hsu	P05022	2626		
40401 75	90 08/15/2005		EXAMINER			
HERSHKOVITZ & ASSOCIATES 1725 I STREET NW, SUITE 300			PONOMARENK	PONOMARENKO, NICHOLAS		
WASHINGTON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2834			
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2005	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer		10/765,86	39	HSU ET AL.	(h)			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
			onomarenko	2834				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with	the correspondence add	Iress -			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION in the may be available under the provisions of 37 Cl SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evo on. a reply within the state period will apply and wi statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reputory minimum of thirty (ill expire SIX (6) MONTHication to become ABAR	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this connonent (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	29 January 200	<u>4</u> .					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	 ✓ Claim(s) 3-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 3-10 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 January 2004 is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the		= : :	•	• •			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have bee ments have bee priority docume ureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Appents have been re e 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National S	Stage			
Attachmen	• •							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946	R)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date			ormal Patent Application (PTO-	152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/765,869 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show functional scheme and numerous claimed features. Drawings are incomprehensible.

Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to because no drawing or view is present to be suitable for publication in the **Official Gazette** as required per MPEP 608.02(j).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,869 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because language of the abstract is incomprehensible. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

3. The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as being so incomprehensible as to preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner. For example, the following items are not understood: "dynamic-adaptive attenuate mechanism", "component thermopile", functional schematic, operation and structure of the claimed device, etc. Specification is incomprehensible.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment, which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure, which is not enabling. The drawings and the clear description are critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but are not included to support the claim(s), which makes claims not enabled by the disclosure. See *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229,

Application/Control Number: 10/765,869

Art Unit: 2834

188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976). The drawings, specification and claims are incomprehensible.

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims 3-10 are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice, because the language of the claims 3-10 do not provide desired clarity and precision, since the scope of the invention sought to be patented cannot be determined from the language of the claim with a reasonable degree of certainty. *In re Wiggins, 488 F.2d 538, 179 USPQ 421 (CCPA 1973)*.

8. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential structural cooperative relationships of elements, such omission amounting to a gap between the necessary structural connections. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted structural cooperative relationships are:

the specificity in claiming the method and the system, which would provide structural elements to enable one of ordinary skill in the art to interpret the claimed invention in a specific definite way, since the presently claimed invention is so broadly claimed that makes it open to numerous interpretations and readings, which does not provide the desired protection of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 2834

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

9. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

> Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

10. Claims 3-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is not supported by either a clearly disclosed asserted utility or a well established utility. Disclosure is incomprehensible and one skilled in the art clearly would not know how to use the claimed invention.

Conclusion

- 11. When the claims are amended, applicant(s) should state in detail where in the original disclosure or in the drawings the amended features find support. No new matter may be introduced.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Ponomarenko whose telephone number is (571) 272-2033, Fax: (571) 273-2033, or to his SPE Darren Schuberg - (571) 272-2044.
- Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 2800 Customer Service Phone: (571) 272-2815

np

August 11, 2005

Nicholas Ponomarenko Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800